$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{PRESSURE BROADING AND} \\ \textbf{SHIFT OF H2O LINE NEAR 1.39} \ \mu \end{array}$

A.Kuzmichev¹, A.Nadezhdinskii², Ya.Ponurovskiy²

¹ Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Dolgoprudniy, Moscow Region, Russia; ² A.M.Prokhorov General Physics Institute of RAS, Vavilov str. 38, 119991 Moscow, Russia





Introduction

Accurate measurement of greenhouse gases is significant goal with respect to global warming. Water is one of the most important greenhouse gases.

Currently available information (HITRAN 2008 [1]) is not enough accurate for goal under consideration. Purpose of present paper was investigation of 000- $101 2_{12} - 3_{13} H_2^{16}$ O line. This line was selected as analytical one for water channel of airplanelaboratory TDLS complex.

[1] <u>http://www.cfa.harvard.edu/hitran/</u>

Block scheme of experiment



Reference channel is using to stabilize DL frequency tuning cycles with respect to position of water vapor line in reference cell.

Set up view



1 – indicator of pump vacuum, 2 – connection to vacuum system, 3 – pressure stabilization, 4 – pressure sensor, 5 - gas cell temperature indicator, 6 – gas cell L = 198.8(2) cm, 7 - Chernin cell L = 3900 cm, 8 – reference channel, 9 – fiber interferometer.

System operation

Tree signal are recorded simultaneously to record water vapor absorbance in analytical and reference channels (black and green respectively), calibrated FP transmittance (red).



S/N ratio is above 10^5 , DL frequency calibration accuracy – 2 10^{-5} cm⁻¹.

DL frequency tuning accuracy



Recorded signals when calibrated FP (black) and fiber (red) etalons were installed in DL beam.

Using data presented, fiber etalon free dispersion range refer to calibrated FP one was determined.

 $FD_{fiber} = 0.131747(8) FD_{FP} =$

= $0.131747(1 \pm 6 \ 10^{-5}) \ FD_{FP}$

DL frequency calibration accuracy is about 2 10⁻⁵ см⁻¹.

NEA

For trace molecules detection NEA - Noise Equivalent Absorbance (relative photocurrent noise) is using.



For 1 sec averaging NEA is equal to 5 10⁻⁷.

Vacuum system and samples preparation

Following gases were used to prepare gas mixtures under investigation. Pure $H_2O > 99.9 \%$, Pure $N_2 > 99.99 \%$ Pure Xe > 99.99 %

Three gas mixtures were prepared: Pure H_2O $H_2O:N_2 = 2.299(7)$ % $H_2O:Xe = 1.925(8)$ %

Gas mixtures prepared remained in container for several days to have homogeneous spatial concentration distribution.

View of vacuum system.



Experimental spectra



Absorbance spectra for different pressures P = 1 - 400 mBar of H₂O:Xe gas mixture, L=1m.

Fitting of pure H₂O spectra



Experimental spectra were fitted using both Rautian - Sobel'man (hard collisions model) and Voigt (all parameters free) line profiles.

Example of fitting for P = 1.41 mBar, L = 2 m, Γ = 0.733 10⁻³ cm⁻¹.

For low pressures Voigt profile is preferable (see B2). White – experiment, red – fitting, green – residual*20. Experimental line shape in this case is close to Doppler profile. Residual is due to DL frequency noise.

Details of results obtained for pure water vapor can be found in C2.

Spectra fitting for H₂O:N₂



Experimental spectra were fitted using both Rautian - Sobel'man (hard collisions model) and Voigt (all parameters free) line profiles.

Example of fitting for P = 25.1 mBar, L = 1 m, Γ = 4.24 10⁻³ cm⁻¹.

For intermediate pressures Rautian -Sobel'man profile is preferable (see B2). White – experiment, red – fitting, green – residual*20.

Significant (~10⁻³) difference between experiment and fitting as well as line asymmetry can be observed.

Spectra fitting for H₂O:Xe



Experimental spectra were fitted using Rautian - Sobel'man line shape (hard collisions model).

Example of fitting for P = 27.5 mBar, L = 1 m, Γ = 9.80 10⁻³ cm⁻¹.

For intermediate pressures Rautian -Sobel'man profile is preferable (see B2). White – experiment, red – fitting, green – residual*20.

Significant (~10⁻³) difference between experiment and fitting as well as strong line asymmetry can be observed.

Pressure shift



Pressure shift for two analytical lines for gas mixtures under investigation.

$$H_2O$$
, N_2 ,Xe, $10^{-2} \text{ cm}^{-1}/\text{Bar}$ $10^{-2} \text{ cm}^{-1}/\text{Bar}$ $10^{-2} \text{ cm}^{-1}/\text{Bar}$ $H_2^{-16}O$ $-1.05(84)$ $-1.18(9)$ $-2.53(4)$ $H_2^{-16}O$ $4.42(70)$ $-1.05(13)$ $-1.57(4)$

Pressure broadening



Pressure broadening for gas mixtures under investigation two analytical lines.

	H₂O, cm⁻¹/Bar	N₂, cm⁻¹/Bar	Xe, cm ⁻¹ /Bar
H ₂ ¹⁶ O 1	0.517(8)	0.166(2)	0.145(6)
H ₂ ¹⁶ O 2	0.484(26)	0.172(2)	0.104(4)

Integral intensity



Pressure dependence of two analytical lines integral intensities ratio.

Lines mixing effect can be observed for $H_2O:N_2$ and very strong for $H_2O:Xe$. For pure H_2O no lines mixing.

Ground levels of these two lines are close enough 142 and 135 cm⁻¹, respectively. So lines mixing can take place. Transition between these two levels is forbidden for dipoledipole interaction (pure water – black circles).

Accuracy estimate

	Value	Error	Error %
L, cm	199.8	0.2	0.1
T, °C	20 - 25	0.2	0.07
P, mBar	0 - 20	0.1	0.5
H ₂ O sample purity, %	99.9	0.1	0.1
PD non-linearity			0.02
Total			0.52
NEA for averaging time 1 sec	5 10 ⁻⁷		
DL frequency tuning, cm ⁻¹	2 10 ⁻⁵		

Estimated experimental accuracy is 0.52 %. Accuracy of spectral line parameters depends also on accuracy of fitting procedure – subject for future investigations.